DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN RELIGION PROGRAM

Admissions and Records Office

Lalaan 1, Silang 4118, Cavite, Philippines | Email: admissions@aiias.edu | Phone: (046) 4144-318 | Website: www.aiias.edu

PERSONAL EVALUATION (RECOMMENDATION)

is applying for admission to the Doctor of Philosophy in Religion program at AIIAS. Our purpose is to admit only those persons who are qualified to pursue this course of study and who can then render effective Christian service. Among the evaluated indices are Christian experience, personal character, previous record, academic potential, and ministerial promise. We would appreciate your candid estimation of this applicant, both from a personal and a professional perspective. We will keep this information confidential. Please mark an X along the scale from 0 to 10, wherever you feel the applicant is best described. If you feel you have insufficient information on some aspect of the applicant's record, please leave it blank. Kindly mail this form directly to the Admissions & Records Office.

Christian Maturity Evaluate the applicant's Christian faith experience	0 Immat	1 ure	2	3 Average	4	5	6 Grow	7 ving	8	_	10 Inusual piritual
Church Loyalty Evaluate the applicant's	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	naturity 10
loyalty to his or her church	Poor			Fair			Good			Outst	anding
Social Acceptance Evaluate the applicant's personality on others	0 Tolera	1 ted	2	3 Accepte	4 d	5	6 Well-	7 liked	8	9 Soug	10 ht after
Social Awareness Evaluate the responsiveness of the applicant to others	0 Slow t respon		2	3 Fairly responsi	4 ve	5	6 Thoug consid	7 shtful and derate	8	9 Unusual & underst	
Social Concern Evaluate the applicant's concern for people and their needs	0 Indiffe	1 rent	2	3 Aware o	4 of	5	6 Occas involv	7 sionally ved	8	9 Deeply in in meeting	
Emotional Stability Consider how the applicant reacts in stressful situation	0 Somev unstab		2	3 Fairly w balance		5	6 Well	7 balanced	8	9 Unusua ba	10 Ily well alanced
Personal Appearance and Manner	0 Carele	1 ss	2	3 Fair	4	5	6 Good	7	8	9 At	10 tractive
Poise What is the applicant's public demeanor	0 Lackin confid		2	3 Exhibits self-con		5	6 Mode confid		8	9 Self-co	10 nfident
Leadership Evaluate the applicant's leadership qualities	0 Poor	1	2	3 Fair	4	5	6 Avera	7 ge	8	9 Exce	10 ptional

How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility Cooperation Fauluate the applicant's willingness to work willing able to assume responsibility Teamwork Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Tommunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Formunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with others Tommunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with others Tommunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with logic Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with others Tommunicate communicate misunderstood and logical facility for communicate clearly Forential for Ministry How does this applicant compare in potential for ministry with others you have noted? How long have you known this applicant? Forential for the applicant's personal development if admitted to the PhD program? What is your profession or position? I recommend this applicant for admission to the PhD in Religion program at AlIAS.	[] With enthusiasm [] With confidence [] With reservations [] Not at all											
How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility Cooperation	[] With enthusiasm [] With confidence [] With reservations											
How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility Cooperation Evaluate the applicant's of the applicant's willingness to work with others Teamwork Evaluate the applicant's of the applicant's of the applicant's ablity to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's of the applicant's of the ablity to present thoughts with others Communication Evaluate the applicant's of the ablity to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's of the ablity to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's of the ablity to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's of the ablity to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's of the ablity to present thoughts with others Formunication Evaluate the applicant's of the ablity to present thoughts of the ablity to present the ablity to present thoughts of the a	[] With enthusiasm											
How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility Cooperation Evaluate the applicant's willing sess to work willing so to cooperate the policant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Formunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Formunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Formunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Formunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Formunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Formunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Formunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team alone Formunication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Formunication	(Please check)											
How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility Loable to asumily with others Loable to asumily with others Loable to ass	I recommend this applicant for	admission	n to the	PhD in	Religion p	rogram at /	AIIAS.					
How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility able to assume responsibility Cooperation Evaluate the applicant's willingness to work willing Lonwith others Teamwork Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with others O	What do you think are the extr	aordinary	talents f	or study	and minis	try of this a	applica	ant?				
How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility Cooperation Evaluate the applicant's willingness to work with others Teamwork Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with others O 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 Works fairly well with others Works fairly well with others ionally with others Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with logic Communicate clearly Not able to Occasionally usually clear Superior and logical facility for presentations Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with others O 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 Ver responsible Average— Ver responsible Takes some responsibility Average— I usually willing Works well works well works well works exceptionally with others Occasionally I usually clear superior and logical facility for presentations Communicate clearly Documentation Potential for Ministry How does this applicant O 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 Lower Upper Upper In what relation In what relation	What is the chief need for the a	applicant's	s persona	al devel	opment if a	dmitted to	the P	hD progran	n?			
How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility Cooperation Evaluate the applicant's willingness to work with others Teamwork Evaluate the applicant's abaility to function in a team relation Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability of present thoughts with logic Communication Evaluate the applicant's abolity of present thoughts with logic Communication Evaluate the applicant's abolity of present thoughts with logic Communication Evaluate the applicant's alone Evaluate the applica												
How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility Cooperation Evaluate the applicant's willingness to work with others Teamwork Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with logic Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with logic Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with logic Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with logic Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with logic Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with logic Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with logic Communicate communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with others O 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 1 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 1 2 1 2 3 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1 2 1 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7		s annlicar	ıt?					In what re	elation			
How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility Description assume responsibility Cooperation Evaluate the applicant's willingness to work with others Teamwork Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team relation Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with logic Communication Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts with logic Description 1	How does this applicant compare in potential for ministry with others you have	Lower	1	2	Upper	4	5	Upper	7	8		10 Upper 10%
How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility Cooperation Evaluate the applicant's willingness to work will others Teamwork Evaluate the applicant's of ability to function in a team relation O 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 Fairly Average— Veresponsible takes some responsibility Average— Veresponsible takes some responsibility Indifferent Usually Always willing to cooperate willing to cooperate willing to cooperate willing to cooperate with others Works fairly well with others with others ionally with others with others ionally with others	Evaluate the applicant's ability to present thoughts	Not abl comm	e to unicate		Occasi	onally	5	Usually and lo	clear gical		Si facil	ity for
How well is the applicant able to assume responsibility Unable to assume responsibility Unable to assume responsibility Fairly Average— to assume responsible responsible responsibility Cooperation Evaluate the applicant's 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 willingness to work Unwilling Indifferent Usually Always willing	Evaluate the applicant's ability to function in a team	Works I	Works best Works fairly well Works well				well	8 9 10 Works except- ionally with others				
How well is the applicant 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 able to assume responsibility Unable to assume responsible takes some responsible	Evaluate the applicant's willingness to work	_		2			5	Usually	′	8	Always	_
Responsibility	,	Unable to assume			Fairly		5	Average takes some				10 Very onsible